Achieving an Esthetic Smile with Tissue Management

Combining patient expectations with photographic blueprints and adhering to biologic principles can reap superior cosmetic results.

By Jack D. Griffin, Jr, DMD

The simplicity of closed diode laser gingivoplasty can tempt the practitioner to administer hasty treatment and less-than-ideal planning. In doing smile rehabilitation, it is prudent to stick to biologic width limitations when sculpting tissues, and to use sound tissue preparation procedures to achieve predictable results that deliver superior esthetics, long-term tissue tolerance, and patient satisfaction. Thorough planning with photography, probing, and tissue marking can lead to predictable results, despite slight compromises due to patient demands.

Gingival Enhancement with Laser Treatment

For more than 20 years, bonded porcelain veneers have been placed to meet the esthetic desires of patients. But, in this time of heightened cosmetic awareness and greater desire for more acceptable long-term solutions, gingival enhancement with laser treatment has become an important adjunct. Creating a highly esthetic smile can only be accomplished with a sound union of proper restorative material selection, adequate tooth preparation, and biologically acceptable soft-tissue treatment. The result will be achieving a maximum level of stable esthetics. Thorough planning and adherence to biologic principles are the keys to predictable treatment. Performing careless, hasty treatment creates the potential for chronic soft tissue irritation, which can lead to compromised treatment, despite more favorable tooth proportions. Anatomical and functional challenges must be incorporated into a thorough treatment plan, taking soft tissue position, tooth preparation design, and idealized tooth positioning into consideration, all while meeting patient expectations.

Before soft-tissue preparation, the clinician should take the following steps:

- Record patient expectations
- Radiographic evaluation
- Photographic “blueprint” of ideal contours
- Sulcus measurement
- Marking of sulcus depth on tissue
- Probe to alveolar crest (bone sounding)

Case Report

A young woman presented requesting cosmetic dental procedures, with the main goal of re-doing the bonding on her front teeth. She wanted her front teeth to be whiter and straighter, and for less gum tissue to show when she smiled (Figure 1 and Figure 2). She also experienced cold and spontaneous pain in several teeth, as a result of bleeding gums, food packing, and poor hygiene. A clinical examination revealed chronic gingivitis associated with poor restoration contours, recurrent decay, and minor attachment loss (Figure 3). Irreversible pulpite was present on several teeth. She had no history of...
parafunctional habits, despite missing lower posterior teeth and exhibiting worn incisal tips on the upper cusps.

A natural side view revealed the extent of the cosmetic units needed to fulfill her esthetic desires (Figure 4). Review of the pretreatment images convinced her of the need for treating five teeth from the midline, in order to make a seamless transition between treated and non-treated teeth. Her “heightened sense of cosmetic need” led to her acceptance of a treatment plan that included fixing all of the porcelain crowns on teeth Nos. 4 through 13, multiple endodontic therapies, various direct composites, and a lower removable prosthesis. These images also dramatically reinforced the need for improved oral hygiene.

A thorough periodontal debridement along with low-wattage laser pocket microwave reduction was done during the preparation appointment. Gingival recontouring was also planned, with the presumption that only a closed, soft-tissue remodeling would be done. Because of the biologic limitations of closed diode laser reshaping, open-flap techniques were considered. The patient’s choice, however, is the ultimate deciding factor, as long as esthetic compromises are accepted by the patient and documented by the staff.20

Photographic uses during a cosmetic case should include the following:

- Case documentation
- Patient education and increased treatment awareness
- Case planning
- Soft and hard tissue preparation blueprint
- Lab communication
- Marketing

Planning for Tissue Preparation

Lasers have become a critical component of smile rehabilitations. If done with respect to periodontal tissues and biologic width, the results can be a great enhancement to cosmetic treatment.21,22 A variety of lasers and techniques have been widely reported on in the literature, with diode, erbium, and carbon-dioxide lasers capable of predictable results with esthetic recontouring. The 810-nm soft-tissue laser offers excellent control of tissue sculpting with very predictable healing and tissue tolerance.23 However, their ease of use must not tempt the clinician to disregard sound biologic principles.14

Biologic width is the sum of the two widths of connective tissue attachment and junctional epithelium superior to the alveolar boney crest. This length, the biologic width, is the zone of gingival attachment to the root surface of the tooth, and is about 2 mm on average. Superior to this is the gingival sulcus, which is on average 1 mm in healthy tissue. This total of 2-mm biologic width plus 1-mm sulcus depth results in about 3 mm needed for restoration margins to be away from the crest of bone.25 These principles must be understood during...
treatment in order to prevent possible chronic periodontal inflammation and unwanted gingival responses such as redness, bleeding, and irritation.16,17

Once the patient was clear on treatment limitations and expectations, digital photographs were studied in detail on a large monitor in a private area of the office. Treatment notes were made, with green marks placed for desired soft tissue changes, and blue lines drawn to denote the desired hard-tissue corrections (Figure 5 through Figure 9). These marks were “ideal,” and would be modified according to biologic principles.18

After local anesthetic was administered, the sulcus depths were measured. Great care was taken to record a firm measurement without violating the attachment to the tooth (Figure 10). These measurements were transferred to the gingival and marked with an indelible pen, so that the sulcus depth was easily identified from the facial view (Figure 11). The anatomy can be more accurately evaluated by probing through the periodontal attachment with a sharpened instrument—bone sounding—in order to locate the height of bone crest.19 The periodontal probe was then pushed through the attached tissue to the height of the buccal crest of alveolar bone, which measured about 5 mm (Figure 12).

“Lasers have become a critical component of smile rehabilitations. If done with respect to periodontal tissues and biologic width, the results can be a great enhancement to cosmetic treatment.”

In this case, about 3 mm of clinical crown length was needed on the facial of tooth No. 10 when looking at the photographs and preoperative measurements of the gummy smile. A probe to the bone revealed 5 mm from the gingival crest, with a sulcular depth of about 3 mm. Therefore, 2 mm of gingival tissue could be safely taken away for this tooth while keeping the restoration margin about 3 mm from the boney crest for uneventful healing. The patient’s decision to do conservative, non-boney lengthening—which was discussed before treatment to prevent postoperative disappointments—slightly compromised the final tissue position.

**Tissue Preparation**
For quick reference during preparation, the marked images were loaded onto the operatory computer at the preparation appointment. Doing so helps to keep the author on task and organized as tissue changes are made. After measuring sulcus depths, probing to the bone, and marking tissues according to ideal photographic changes, an 810-nm diode laser (Odyssey®, Ivoclar Vivadent,
CASE PRESENTATION (13.) After a composite mock-up, 3 mm of gingival tissue removal satisfied the tissue enhancement goals. This was within the biologic framework. (14.) After recontouring soft tissue, the patient was evaluated. A digital photograph was taken and reviewed for symmetrical removal and conformity to facial landmarks. (15.) All teeth but a single central incisor were prepared in order to maintain a definitive anterior occlusal stop during bite registration, and to act as a reference during tooth preparation. (16.) After gross decay removal, a caries indicator was used to verify complete damaged dentin removal. (17.) After endodontic treatment, the teeth were restored using esthetic fiber-composite posts. The build-up was completed with a dual-cure composite build-up material. (18.) The bite registration was taken after all of the preparations were completed, except the orientation of the central incisor. (19.) The teeth were prepared with rounded shoulders 0.5 mm to 1 mm into the recontoured sulcus. A retraction/hemostatic putty was placed and rinsed after 5 minutes. (20.) The contacts were flossed, excess cement was removed, and the occlusion was checked several days after cementation. Healing was very good, with only some minor irritation. (21.) Three weeks after cementation, healing was excellent and tissues were very receptive to the gingival recontouring. (22.) The cosmetic improvement was significant because of proper case planning combining patient expectations, photographic blueprints, and respect for biologic principles. (23.) The biologic width prevented further gingival removal on tooth No. 10. Leaving sufficient tissue attachment and sulcus depth apical to the crown margin made for predictable healing, despite the clinician’s desire for 1 mm more removal. (24.) Obvious clinical improvement is the primary goal of the patient, while doing so within biologic limitations is the goal of the clinician.
improvement in tissue color, bleeding, and adaptation to the restorations with improved hygiene (Figure 21). A lower removable prosthesis was also inserted to stabilize the occlusion.

As a result of proper patient care and adherence to fundamental tissue handling principles (Figure 22), the tissues responded very positively to the restorations, and the tissue improvements remained stable at more than 2 years postoperatively. An open-flap procedure could have improved the result by giving another 1 mm to 2 mm of length to tooth No. 10. The patient’s desires, however, prohibited that procedure (Figure 23). The violated biologic width could have been ignored, and the additional tissue could have been removed, but doing so could create chronic tissue problems in that area. Instead, a healthy and predictable result was achieved, which led to a very satisfied patient (Figure 24).
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